Case 2:16-cr-00019-ABJ Document 141 Filed 10/11/16 Page 1 of 22

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING		
3			
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 16-CR-00019-J	
5	Plaintiff,	Cheyenne, Wyoming	
6	vs.	June 24, 2016 9:40 a.m.	
7	GILBERT WAYNE WILES, JR.,		
8	Defendant.		
9			
10			
11	MDANCODIDE OF	CENTENCING DOOFEDINGS	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALAN B. JOHNSON		
13		ES DISTRICT JUDGE	
14			
15	APPEARANCES:		
16		THOMAS A. SZOTT	
17	UNIT	stant United States Attorney CED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
18		Box 668 zenne, WY 82003	
19		DION J. CUSTIS erney at Law	
20	DION	J. CUSTIS, P.C. East 20th Street	
21		renne, WY 82001	
22	Count Deporture MC	THE HE WHOMAS DWD CDD	
23	901	JULIE H. THOMAS, RMR, CRR 19th Street, Room A256	
24		ver, CO 80294 3)296-3056 CA CSR No. 9162	
25			
	Proceedings recorded by mech transcript produced by compa	2	

Case 2:16-cr-00019-ABJ Document 141 Filed 10/11/16 Page 2 of 22

	16-CR-00019-J	Sentencing	06/24/2016 2
1		<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>	
2	OBJECTIONS TO PRESENTE	ENCE REPORT	PAGE
3	Mr. Szott		 5
4	Mr. Szott Mr. Custis Rulings of the Court		9
5		· t	12
6	MODELONG		D. C.
7	MOTIONS		<u>PAGE</u>
8	Motion to Dismiss Count Mr. Szott Ruling of the Court	nt 1	20
9			20
10	THEOMENIA AND COMMENCE		53.05
11	JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE		<u>PAGE</u>
12	Mr. Custis Allocution by the Defend Judgment and Sentence of		9 11
13		of the Court	15
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	Julie H. Thomas, RMR, (CRR	(303) 296-3056

Case 2:16-cr-00019-ABJ Document 141 Filed 10/11/16 Page 3 of 22

```
06/24/2016
     16-CR-00019-J
                                                                    3
                               Sentencing
 1
         (Proceedings commenced 9:40 a.m.,
 2
         June 24, 2016.)
 3
              THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
              The matter we have this morning is the sentencing
 4
 5
    proceedings in the case of United States of America,
 6
    plaintiff, against Gilbert Wayne Wiles Jr. This is under
 7
     Docket 16-CR-00019. Present is Assistant United States
 8
    Attorney Thomas Andrew Szott, and the defendant is present in
 9
     person with defense counsel Dion J. Custis.
10
              A presentence investigation report was prepared by
11
     Thomas P. Fitzgerald, United States Probation Officer, and
12
    Mr. John Olive is here representing that office today.
13
              Is the Government ready to proceed?
              MR. SZOTT: Yes, Your Honor.
14
15
              THE COURT: Mr. Custis?
16
              MR. CUSTIS: We are, Your Honor.
17
              MR. SZOTT: Good morning, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Szott.
19
              MR. SZOTT: This case began in this court with an
20
     Indictment that was filed on January the 14th of this year.
21
     It charged Mr. Wiles in two separate counts: Count 1,
22
     conspiracy to operate an unregistered aircraft, and Count 2,
23
     operating an unregistered aircraft and aiding and abetting.
24
              On April 15th of this year Mr. Wiles pled guilty to
25
    Count 2 and specifically to the aiding and abetting portion.
```

Julie H. Thomas, RMR, CRR

(303)296-305

Sentencing

06/24/2016

So he pled guilty to aiding and abetting operation of an unregistered aircraft, and that plea came under a binding plea agreement which was also a conditional plea agreement. Under Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the parties seek to bind the Court to a sentence of anywhere from one to three years of probation. Under Rule 11(a)(2) as I mentioned, Your Honor, it's a conditional plea, so Mr. Wiles is reserving his right to appeal various pretrial motions that he had pending before the Court before the scheduled trial in this case.

Two other material terms of the Plea Agreement I want to mention, Your Honor. The Plea Agreement provides that at the conclusion of the hearing, the United States will move to dismiss Count 1 of the Indictment. The Plea Agreement also contains a provision in which Mr. Wiles is consenting to the forfeiture of the aircraft involved in this case, that being the aircraft bearing tail number N6214V. And regarding the forfeiture, I would also note that this Court entered a preliminary forfeiture -- preliminary order of forfeiture on May the 2nd. That's document number 86 in this court's docket, which provides among other things that the order of forfeiture will become final as to the defendant at the time of sentencing. And under Rule 32.2(b)(4) again of the Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Court should orally announce the forfeiture as part of the sentence and then refer to the

Sentencing

06/24/2016

1 forfeiture order also in the judgment. So the Government

2 would make that request, Your Honor.

The Court referred to the presentence report which was filed on May the 20th. The Government did not object to the report, and in his original response through counsel,

Mr. Wiles didn't either. That response was filed on June 3rd.

Through counsel Mr. Wiles did file objections to the presentence report on June 16th. Those objections fall into several categories. He appears to be objecting to the application of Section 2B1.1 of the sentencing guidelines and referring to a previous motion that he had filed. The previous motion actually contains a discussion that would support the applicability of 2B1.1, Your Honor, and absent any contention from the defendant today, the Government would urge the Court to apply 2B1.1.

Mr. Wiles is also objecting to the two-level sophisticated means enhancement under Section 2B1.1(b)(10)(C), and as I understand it, his contention is that that enhancement should not apply because he does not -- he claims he does not own the aircraft.

He is also objecting to various factual assertions by third parties and two special conditions of probation. He objects to the condition that would require a mental health evaluation and associated treatment if -- presumably, if required. He also objects to the drug abuse evaluation

Sentencing

06/24/2016

1 condition and, again, presumably the associated treatment.

The guideline calculation in the presentence report is as follows: It begins with a base offense level of 6. Two levels are added for that sophisticated means enhancement.

Mr. Wiles then receives a two-level reduction for his acceptance of responsibility which results in a total offense level of 6, Criminal History Category I, and an advisory guideline range of zero to six months.

Regarding the guideline calculation, Your Honor, even if the Court were to not apply the sophisticated means, we would still be in Criminal History Category I, zero to six months. It's really just a question of whether it's total offense level 6 or 4. The Government's position is that that enhancement should apply, that based on the language of the guidelines that Mr. Wiles's ownership of the aircraft really isn't material. The question is whether he caused the conduct constituting the sophisticated means, and here that would be the use of a shell company or shell entity as the purported owner of the aircraft.

Regarding that enhancement, Your Honor, I do have Special Agent Joe O'Haver in the courtroom. He is available to testify. However, again, because it won't affect the guideline calculation and really shouldn't affect the sentence in any way, I'm really hesitant to spend a lot of time putting on evidence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sentencing

I would also note, Your Honor, that under the Rules

06/24/2016

7

of Criminal Procedure, the rules -- Rule 32 requires the defendant to raise objections within 14 days of receiving the I know in this District the Probation Office will typically in an e-mail, if not always, indicate a response deadline that's a little later than that 14 days. Nevertheless, certainly it would not be as late as he in fact objected. And, therefore, again under the Rules of Criminal Procedure and Tenth Circuit case law, and here I'm citing to the case of *United States versus Eastteam*, 426 F.3d 1301 at 1303, and what the Tenth Circuit said summarizing these provisions is: "A defendant must raise objections to a PSR within 14 days of receiving the PSR. See Fed.R.Crim.P. 32(f)(1). A district court may, however, entertain a new objection at any time before sentencing if the defendant shows good cause." So if this Court were to find good cause to entertain these untimely objections, I anticipate -- I'm prepared to offer argument on the sophisticated means. I'm not inclined to offer evidence, although the special agent is available. Regarding the mental health evaluation, Your Honor, I -- I haven't spoken to Mr. Fitzgerald about it. I don't know that there is sufficient basis in the PSR to order a

mental health evaluation. I know there was a statement by

defendant's mother that seemed to be the primary basis for

```
06/24/2016
     16-CR-00019-J
                               Sentencing
 1
     that, some other indicators as well. I'm not sure that that
 2
     one would hold up. There's ample indications in the PSR,
 3
     though, Your Honor, supporting the drug abuse evaluation
     condition, and certainly the Government would support that
 4
     condition and would argue for its application.
 5
 6
              So with apologies for the length of that
 7
     introduction, Your Honor, I believe we're ready to proceed.
              THE COURT: Very well. Counsel.
 8
 9
              MR. CUSTIS: Yes, Your Honor, Dion Custis for
10
    Mr. Wiles, and we are ready to proceed.
11
              THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Wiles, would you raise
12
     your right hand and be sworn.
13
         (The defendant was sworn.)
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
14
15
              THE COURT: Please state your full name.
16
              THE DEFENDANT: Gilbert Wayne Wiles Jr.
17
              THE COURT: Are you under the influence of any drug,
18
     alcohol, pill, or medication today?
19
              THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Have you received access to the
21
    presentence investigation report?
22
              THE DEFENDANT: I have.
23
              THE COURT: And have you discussed the matter with
24
    your attorney?
25
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                                                        (303)296
```

Julie H. Thomas, RMR, CRR

Case 2:16-cr-00019-ABJ Document 141 Filed 10/11/16 Page 9 of 22 06/24/2016 16-CR-00019-J 9 Sentencing 1 THE COURT: And are you satisfied with his work for 2 you so far? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Very well. I have reviewed the 4 presentence investigation report in this case and find that it 5 is well and thoughtfully prepared and that the probation 6 7 officer went the additional step of verifying and checking all 8 of the information that was provided in it. I find that for 9 all of the reasons of treating defendants who are similarly 10 situated in a similar manner in this offense, and considering 11 the guideline used by analogy, that I will accept the Plea 12 Agreement in this matter under Federal Rule of Criminal 13 Procedure 11(c)(1)(C) and the sentence that will be imposed 14 will be imposed pursuant to that agreement that was entered 15 into in this matter and was filed with the Court. 16 With that said, I'd be pleased to hear anything that 17 counsel wishes to say. 18 MR. CUSTIS: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, in light 19 of that, the only thing that I would like to address is the 20 length of probation. I would urge the Court to consider a 21 two-year probation period. I think it's appropriate. And 22 probably the most convincing evidence that this Court has is 23 his conduct on pretrial supervision, which is for

25 no problems whatsoever. He has gainful employment, a stable

approximately six months. Within that there's been absolutely

24

Sentencing

06/24/2016

residence. He's been -- the Probation Office has been to his home on more than one occasion. They have met with him on more than one occasion. They have deemed his home, his work, his friends and associates to be suitable. And really this is

a person that I don't really believe needs any supervision.

Understanding that he's pled to a federal offense and that's required, I think a minimal probation period is appropriate for him. I really don't see that we need to waste any government resources on him. He really has given no cause to do that. He's accepted responsibility for this offense, and there really -- I don't believe there's really any other outstanding issues surrounding it that have not been dealt with at this point in time.

And what I'm referring to is specifically the portion requiring drug testing and/or drug eval. I think certainly Mr. Wiles would submit to a drug evaluation. We believe it would come back as not recommending any type of treatment because he's not a drug user and is not in need of any treatment. So -- but I think just a blanket condition that he submit to drug testing is really unnecessary. Again, I think it's just a waste of resources at this point in time being that he's been on pretrial supervision for six months, has never had any indication in that time that there is any concern there.

(The defendant and counsel consult.)

16-CR-00019-J Sentencing 06/24/2016 11

MR. CUSTIS: And he tells me that they did do a drug screening and obviously had no issues with it while he's been on pretrial supervision.

So, Your Honor, that and the mental health evaluation, again I don't think that that also is warranted. There doesn't seem to be anything in here to indicate that that's necessary. Again, I think that would be, again, another waste of resources from the government.

So this is an individual that stands before you, Your Honor, that I think is going to be very successful on probation. I think he's shown that already. And I think that the level of supervision is going to be very limited. Once they actually do start the supervision, they're going to see that this is a person that they really do not need to supervise and spend a lot of time with.

So with that, Your Honor, we would request a two-year probation period, and certainly Mr. Wiles will abide by any condition the Court imposes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Wiles, I'd be pleased to let you speak and address the Court.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, obviously I'm in a position here that I never saw myself in. I take full responsibility for my actions and apologize to the Court for even wasting this time. I'm looking forward to moving forward

Julie H. Thomas, RMR, CRR

Sentencing

06/24/2016

1 in a positive direction. Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, that makes absolute good sense in this matter, Mr. Wiles.

The Court fully adopts the terms and conditions of the -- I'm sorry, I didn't say that correctly -- fully adopts the findings of the probation officer in this matter. I realize that there is some issue as to the calculation of the guideline in this matter, but I don't think it is a significant issue in the context of the Plea Agreement that was entered into by and between the parties to this matter, but I think needs to be addressed by the Court at any rate and represents a thoughtful attempt by the probation officer to address this case in the context of the guidelines by way of providing assistance to the Court and a additional check concerning the sentence that is being imposed in this matter and its reasonableness for the purposes of sentencing under federal law.

I recognize the arguments that have been made by

Mr. Custis certainly and his views about conserving

governmental resources, and ultimately I recognize that the

supervised release -- supervised probation that this Court

will be imposing will be under the, largely, the control of a

United States Probation Officer who will be dealing with

Mr. Wiles on a regular basis and making decisions concerning

his progress. He will know Mr. Wiles much better than we do

Sentencing

06/24/2016

in this courtroom by that contact face to face over a period of time, and their evaluation of whether or not it's purely an adversarial type of relationship, one that is opposed at every step, or one that really represents a person who is attempting to, at age 38, to establish a track record and a life for himself with some stability and relationships that last will be really their decision as opposed to mine. If it is one that is filled with difficulty, then either a judge in Colorado or wherever will be seeing the matter, or it may be back here, and we'll have to deal with it. And we will.

I really, Mr. Wiles, feel more strongly that there are aspects of your part in this and you as a person and your style of life that gives me some more concern than even your codefendant. And I recognize that you strongly contest the opinions and put forth the favorable opinions, and there are a number of them that were reported to Mr. Fitzgerald of more recent acquaintances, but even concerns that family members have had as well as the women in your life and the domestic violence issues that have occurred and the assaults that -- the assault issue at a dance and et cetera that are in that record and really the -- until recently the lack of genuine stability.

On the other hand, you are a person who presents with good intelligence. Not everybody is able to secure a license to operate aircraft and an instructor license in addition.

Sentencing

06/24/2016

That's not for dummies. It requires some additional skills that most people do not have or possess.

I'm pleased that for the most recent history that you have been maintaining steady employment, and it appears to be lawful activities. And I am pleased in addition that a probation officer will be making the decision as to what is the nature of the testing that will be going on and whether or not there is any need for treatment or an extensive drug program, which may not be. And they hold the purse strings in terms of what they do.

That's all I can offer in terms of my thoughts in this matter. This case is still largely one that is surrounded in mystery to its full extent, to the full purpose and the full individuals who may be involved in what may be going forward as we speak and any such activity. Particularly concerning here was the use of false names, the amount of money that was invested in this enterprise.

Mr. Wiles, you understand that a forfeiture action has been commenced and a preliminary order of forfeiture has been entered in this court with respect to aircraft possessing tail number N6214 Victor. Do you oppose in any way the forfeiture of that aircraft?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't understand how I can forfeit something that doesn't belong to me, but, no, I do not oppose it.

Sentencing

06/24/2016

Reform Act of 1984, the factors enumerated in 18 United States Code Section 3553(a), and in particular the Court is looking at the rather odd nature of how this case came about -- looking behind it, there are certainly larger questions that loom -- as well as the defendant's situation, it is the judgment and sentence of the Court that the defendant, Gilbert Wayne Wiles Jr., is hereby sentenced to a term of three years of supervised probation with the recommendation that it not be terminated early, leaving it really in the hands of those who will know him best, that is, the probation officers wherever he may be located.

Within 72 hours of sentencing, the defendant shall report in person to the Probation Office in the District to which he resides, which I think is the District of Colorado, and while on supervised probation he shall abide by the mandatory and standard conditions adopted by this Court.

Mandatory meaning by law, and standard are conditions adopted by the U.S. Sentencing Commission and which are included in the judgment and sentences of this Court.

In addition, due to the nature of the offense of conviction, which included the possession of over a quarter of a million dollars in U.S. currency possessed by both defendants, the purchase of a \$130,000 aircraft by the defendant who claims to have not made any money through

16-CR-00019-J Sentencing

employment issues.

06/24/2016

legitimate employment, and law enforcement tracking multiple unregistered flights from California to Oregon, Minnesota, and Illinois by the aircraft after he purchased it, conditions are recommended to monitor financial activity and manage financial risks, including reporting income, new credit or debt, paying taxes and filing tax returns, and advance approval regarding

Also, because the defendant has a documented history of domestic violence, anger issues, and substance abuse, special conditions are added to require participation in mental health and drug treatment testing and abstinence from mind-altering substances, including alcohol. A copay condition is imposed to assist in fiscal management of the drug testing program and to provide accountability for the defendant.

Given the nature and extent of the defendant's criminal history, a condition is imposed to address cognitive thinking errors.

The nature of financial crimes, substance abuse, and the need to address officer safety justifies a search condition.

The following special conditions are thus imposed:

First, defendant shall not incur any new debt or credit without permission of the probation officer.

Second, defendant shall provide full financial

Julie H. Thomas, RMR, CRR

(303)296 - 3056

Sentencing

06/24/2016

disclosure to the U.S. Probation Officer, including detailed documentation of income and expenses.

Third, defendant shall cooperate with the Internal Revenue Service and file tax returns timely and lawfully, pay back taxes, penalties, and interest that may be determined by the IRS.

Fourth, any employment shall be subject to prior approval by the probation officer. I don't anticipate any problem there. And he shall not discontinue employment without prior approval of the U.S. Probation Officer or shall report any loss of employment to the probation officer promptly.

Fifth, defendant shall submit his person, residence, storage facility, office, and vehicle to search conducted by the probation officer at a reasonable time in a reasonable manner based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of a violation of a condition. Failure to submit to search may be grounds for revocation. Defendant should warn others occupying the premises that it may be subject to search by the Probation Office.

Sixth, defendant shall participate in a cognitive behavioral treatment regimen ordered by the probation officer, participate actively until successfully discharged or excused by the probation officer.

Seventh, he shall complete a mental health evaluation

Julie H. Thomas, RMR, CRR

(303)296 - 3056

Sentencing

06/24/2016

and, if recommended, participate in and successfully complete anger management, domestic violence treatment in a program approved by the probation officer, abiding by the rules, requirements, and conditions of that treatment program and not discontinuing it without permission of the probation officer. He shall participate in and successfully complete substance abuse treatment in a program approved by the probation officer, if necessary, and shall not discontinue it if ordered without permission of the probation officer. He shall submit to drug and alcohol testing as directed by the probation officer, complying with copays imposed pursuant to any

Tenth, as a component of his treatment and testing, there is a one-time fee of \$250 to partially defray the costs of treatment and/or drug testing. Those payments shall be made by cashier's check or money order to the Clerk of the District Court here in Cheyenne. This condition is waived if he is supervised by any District other than Wyoming.

District policy should he fail to comply.

Eleventh, defendant shall not engage in flight or flying aircraft without permission of his supervising probation officer during the term of this supervised probation.

Twelfth, he shall refrain from any use or possession of alcohol or other intoxicants, including over-the-counter medications used contrary to recommended dosage or the

Sentencing

06/24/2016

intentional inhalation of any substance, prescribed or otherwise, without permission of the probation officer and shall not enter establishments whose primary income is derived from the sale of alcohol.

Thirteenth, defendant shall satisfy his outstanding and active warrants in Greenfield, Indiana, and Columbus, Ohio, within the first six months of the probation term.

The Court finds the defendant does not have the ability -- or does have the ability to pay a fine within the guideline range, and a fine of \$1,000 is ordered, due immediately inclusive of penalties and interest if applicable.

And, finally, it is ordered defendant shall pay a special assessment fee in the amount of \$100, which shall be due immediately.

Payments for monetary obligations shall be made payable by cashier's check or money order to the Clerk of the District Court here in Cheyenne. Defendant shall pay his financial obligations immediately. Those not paid immediately shall be paid commencing 30 days after imposition of probation in monthly payments of not less than \$25 or 10 percent of the defendant's gross monthly income, whichever is greater. All monetary payments shall be satisfied not less than 60 days prior to the expiration of the term of supervised probation.

Defendant is effectively barred from appealing the length of sentence because he has received the sentence

Case 2:16-cr-00019-ABJ Document 141 Filed 10/11/16 Page 20 of 22 16-CR-00019-J 06/24/2016 20 Sentencing 1 stipulated in the binding 11(c)(1)(C) Plea Agreement. 2 Count 1 is dismissed upon motion, if that motion is 3 made, of the United States. MR. SZOTT: The United States would make that motion, 4 Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: The motion is granted, Mr. Szott. 6 7 Mr. Szott, do you want to remind me of anything that 8 we've neglected here? 9 MR. SZOTT: A couple of things, Your Honor, about 10 the -- about the advisement and conditions of supervision. 11 Again, I -- not the sentence itself. I would again note that Mr. Wiles has reserved the right to appeal those pretrial 12 13 motions as a condition of his -- he has a conditional Plea 14 Agreement, so that right of appeal is certainly available. 15 And then, Your Honor, with respect to the substance 16 abuse treatment condition, I just wanted to clarify so that we 17 don't have any issue with delegation of authority to the 18 probation officer. I didn't look at this recently, but my 19 understanding is it's proper for the Court to order treatment 20 or order an evaluation and compliance with any recommended 21 treatment, but not to give the probation officer discretion to 22 order the treatment. I believe Mr. Olive probably would know 23 this area of the law as well or better than I do, but -- so

the Government would request that the substance abuse

treatment condition either -- either the Court require that

Julie H. Thomas, RMR, CRR

24

25

(303)296-3056

16-CR-00019-J 06/24/2016 21 Sentencing 1 treatment, or what might be the safer approach would be to 2 require an evaluation and then compliance with any treatment that's ordered as a result of that evaluation. 3 THE COURT: I think the language we used was "shall 4 participate in and successfully complete substance abuse 5 6 treatment in a program approved by the U.S. Probation 7 Officer." 8 PROBATION OFFICER OLIVE: Correct, and, Your Honor, 9 that would not violate the directives from the Tenth Circuit 10 and other appellate courts because the defendant is required 11 by the Court to participate in a program. The program simply 12 has to be approved by the probation officer. 13 MR. SZOTT: I believe that's correct, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Well, we do need to remind the defendant 15 he has 14 days from the date that the judgment and sentence is 16 entered in this matter within which to perfect and file his 17 notice of appeal. And your attorney is very much aware of 18 that 14-day period, so you should discuss it with him. 19 There appears to be nothing further before the Court this morning, and we will stand in recess. 20 21 MR. CUSTIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 (Proceedings concluded 10:12 a.m., 23 June 24, 2016.) 24 25

1	$\underline{\mathtt{C}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \underline{\mathtt{R}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \underline{\mathtt{F}} \ \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \underline{\mathtt{C}} \ \underline{\mathtt{A}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}}$	
2		
3		
4	I, JULIE H. THOMAS, Official Court Reporter for the	
5	United States District Court for the District of Wyoming, a	
6	Registered Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, do	
7	hereby certify that I reported by machine shorthand the	
8	proceedings contained herein on the aforementioned subject on	
9	the date herein set forth, and that the foregoing pages	
10	constitute a full, true and correct transcript.	
11	Dated this 29th day of September, 2016.	
12		
13		
14		
15	/s/ Julie H. Thomas	
16	JULIE H. THOMAS Official Court Reporter	
17	Registered Merit Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter	
18	CA CSR No. 9162	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		